

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/588,589	BECK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul A. Chenevert	3612	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>Paul A. Chenevert</u>	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Adam M. Gustafson</u>	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>22 January 2010</u>	Time: <u>0830</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>Of the record</i>			
Claims discussed:	1, 7, 11, 14, 18 & 21-33		
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>Of the record</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>Applicant agreed to the Examiner's proposed changes to the claims so as to put the case in condition for allowance.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			